



WELCOME Mid Valley Airport Runway Extension Public Meeting





PUBLIC MEETING AGENDA

- Welcome and Introductions
 George Garrett, CM Aviation Director, Mid Valley Airport
- Project Description

Mark Iglesias, Environmental Manager – S&B Infrastructure, LTD, McAllen, TX.

Public Comment

George Garrett, CM - Aviation Director, Mid Valley Airport

Adjournment

George Garrett, CM - Aviation Director, Mid Valley Airport



INTRASTRUCTURE, ITD.

PROJECT LOCATION



PURPOSE OF PUBLIC MEETING

- Promote effective communication with the public and adjacent property owners
- Provide general project information
- Review alternatives considered
- Present the Preferred Alternative
- Receive your comments







Written Comments Must be Post Marked by May 30, 2011 and mailed to the following Address:

Texas Department of Transportation-AVN
ATTN: Josephine Jarrell
Environmental Planner
125 East Eleventh Street
Austin, Texas 78701
Josephine.Jarrell@txdot.gov





EXISTING FACILITY

- FAA National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS)
 - Business Corporate Airport
- Texas Airport System Plan (TASP)
 - Multipurpose Business Corporate General Aviation Airport
- Mid Valley Airport Able to Serve
 - Single Engine Aviation Aircraft
 - Business Jets
- "Landing Rights" Customs Processing Center
 - On Call Services



EXISTING FACILITY

Existing Runway (RW)13-31

4,998-ft long and 70-ft wide

Existing Full Parallel Taxiway (TW) A

- 4,998-ft long and 50-ft wide
- Provides access to RW 13-31
- Services all terminal and hangar facilities



PURPOSE AND NEED

City of Weslaco proposes to extend the existing RW and Parallel TW 1,002 ft to an ultimate length of 6,000-ft and to widen the RW from 70-ft to 75-ft.

Purpose for the Project

Provide an effective and safe aviation facility in accordance with federal and state regulations that will meet and serve current and forecasted aviation demands with minimal environmental impacts.

Need for the Project

Existing Runway length does not meet requirements for a full range of business aircraft forecast to operate at the airport



ALTERNATIVES

- Alternative 1: "No Action" Alternative
- Alternative 2: Widen and extend RW south
- Alternative 3: Widen and extend RW north and realign Sugar Cane Drive (Dr)
- Alternative 4: Widen and extend RW north and close Sugar Cane Dr



SABERASTRUCTURE, ITD.

"NO ACTION" ALTERNATIVE

- Keeps the airport in current conditions and does not provide for improvements to existing facilities
- Advantages of the "No Action" Alternative
 - No additional property required
 - No adverse environmental impacts
- Disadvantages of the "No Action" Alternative
 - Would not improve capacity or accommodate future growth.
 - Does not promote economic growth in the Weslaco area
 - Limits development at Mid Valley Airport
 - Results in the inability to meet aviation demands.
- "No Action" Alternative would not meet purpose and need

NPRASTRUCTURE, ITD.

ALTERNATIVE 2 Widen and Extend RW south

- Extend RW and Parallel TW 1,002-ft south.
 - Ultimate length of 6,000-ft.
 - Widened RW from 70-ft to 75-ft.

Disadvantages of Alternative 2

- Require 34 acres of property
- Closure of East Pike Dr. and Vo-Tech Dr.
- Adversely impacts emergency vehicle response route
- Impacts Army National Guard and South Texas Vo-Tech properties
- Impacts property & business development along US 83
 Expwy

Advantages of Alternative 2

Allow for full range of business aircraft to operate at the airport

ALTERNATIVE 3 Widen and Extend RW north and Realign Sugar Cane Dr.

- Extend Rwy 13-31 & parallel Twy 1,002-ft north
 - Ultimate length of 6,000-ft.
 - Runway 13-31 widened from 70-ft to 75-ft.
 - Require approximately 16.8 acres of property
- Re-align Sugar Cane Dr
 - Requires 2.8 acres of property
 - Displacement of a residential home



NFRSTRUCTURE ITD.

ALTERNATIVE 3 Widen and Extend RW north and Re-align Sugar Cane Dr.

- Disadvantages of Alternative 3
 - Requires additional property and home displacement
 - Non-continuous east-west route
 - Unsafe intersection at N. Bridge Ave
 - Increased commercial traffic along residential streets
 - Increased noise along residential streets
 - Re-align Rd would not meet FAA Runway Protection Zone (RPZ) clearance standards
 - Impacts ability for full range of business aircraft from using the RW.
- Advantages of Alternative 3
 - · Maintain an east-west travel corridor
 - Minimizes travel pattern impacts.
- Alternative 3 would not meet the purpose and need

ALTERNATIVE 4 Widen and Extend RW north and Close Sugar Cane Dr.

- Extend Rwy 13-31 & parallel Twy 1,002-ft north
 - Ultimate length of 6,000-ft.
 - Runway 13-31 widened from 70-ft to 75-ft.
 - Require approximately 16.8 acres of additional property
- Closure of Sugar Cane Dr.
 - Between North Paseo del Norte and Joe Stephens Ave
 - No additional property required



SER MYRASTRUCTURE ITD.

ALTERNATIVE 4 Widen and Extend RW north and Close Sugar Cane Dr.

Disadvantages of Alternative 4

- · Closure of Sugar Cane Dr.
- Impact east-west travel patterns along Sugar Cane Dr.

Advantages of Alternative 4

- No additional property or residential displacements required
- Reduces commercial traffic along residential streets
- Reduces noise levels along residential streets
- Improves safety along residential streets
- Meets FAA RW Protection Zone clearance standards
- Allows for full range of business aircraft to use the RW.

Alternative 4

- Meets purpose & need
- Minimizes impacts to the environment
- Preferred Alternative

EVALUATION CRITERIA

- Purpose and Need
- Human Environment
- Natural Environment
- Land Use
- Engineering Considerations
- Total Project Cost



NEXT STEPS IN PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

- Consider all written and formal verbal comments
- Environmental Documentation by S & B Infrastructure
- KSA Engineers-Designing/ Engineering
- Land Acquisition
- Construction





MEETING

- 1. Use Podium Microphone
- 2. State Your Full Name
- 3. State Interest In Project



SARASTRUCTURE, ITD. Benearing of Mingenese in Technology

ADJOURNMENT



Good Night!!!!

